

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 3636 10/002,841 11/28/2001 Antony Hugh Gravett CARGO-101 **EXAMINER** 12/02/2003 7590 William H. Saltzman MCCLELLAN, JAMES S 30 East 65th Street ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER New York, NY 10021 3627

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/002,841	GRAVETT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James S McClellan	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 ∧</u>	lovember 2001.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language profits the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat ority documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(inst sentence of the specification of covisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)	4\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	(PTO 413) Paper No(a)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

'Application/Control Number: 10/002,841

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification is missing section heading for the Background of the Invention and is completely missing a Brief Description of each drawing.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 8, "the said" should be replaced with --said--. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the multistep process" in line 4. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the Customer Platform computer screen" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3627

The scope of claim 3 is unclear because it appears to further limit claim 2. Additionally, it is unclear if Applicant intends to claim the combination of the system and the object or merely the subcombination of the object. Clarification is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States-and-was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,016,504 (Arnold et al.).

Regarding claim 1, Arnold et al. discloses a system for purchasing and selling products and services electronically on-line, which comprises: a computer platform (see Figure 1A; customer platforms 1A01-1A04) comprised of a customer operated computing device of initiating and completing a multistep process of transaction required to effect the purchase of products or services online; a vendor platform (see Figure 1A; merchant 1A11) comprised of potential vendors of said products and services demanded by the customer platform (1A01-1A04) and consisting of a responsive electronic computer network (see Figure 1A, World Wide Web 1A09) capable of responding on-line to supply the products and services to the customer platform; and a transfer service means (see Figure 1A; Virtual Outlet 1A10) which is capable of conducting dynamic, interactive electronic commerce between the customer platform and

Art Unit: 3627

vendor platform to effectuate and complete the purchase sought to be made by said customer platform; [claim 2] the system utilizes a dynamic transaction object (see web browser in Figure 1A) for purposes of initiating, modifying, monitoring and completing said online purchase transaction, and which comprises a multifunctional graphic icon created on a customer platform computer screen and which is cable of receiving, storing, and displaying data received from the transfer service means, and having incorporated thereon a number of discrete interactive areas capable of being viewed or activated by means of the customer platform in order to monitor or execute various steps comprising said line transaction; [claim 3] (as best understood) said dynamic transaction object (see web browser in Figure 1A).

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Giovannoli is cited of interest for disclosing a computerized quotation system and method connecting vendors and buyers over a network.

Montulli is cited of interest for disclosing a method of on-line shopping utilizing persistent client state in a client-server system.

Purcell is cited of interest for disclosing an automated an inventory exchange system that connects buyers and sellers over a network.

Morrison, Jr. is cited of interest for disclosing a security system and method for business transactions with customers.

Page 5

'Application/Control Number: 10/002,841

Art Unit: 3627

Danneels et al. is cited of interest for disclosing a dynamic linking of supplier web sites to reseller websites.

Baxter et al. is cited of interest for disclosing a content management system.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Official communications) or

(703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Primary Examiner A.U. 3627

jsm

November 25, 2003